arXiv:1202.6075v1 [hep-ph] 27 Feb 2012

Determination of the η-η' mixing angle

Fu-Guang Cao
Institute of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University,
Private Bag 11 222, Palmerston North, New Zealand

(Dated: February 29, 2012)

We extract η-η' mixing angle and the ratios of decay constants of light pseudoscalar mesons π^0, η and η' using recently available *BABAR* measurements on η-photon and η'-photon transition form factors and more accurate experimental data for the masses and two-photon decay widths of the light pseduoscalar mesons.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Be, 14.40.Df, 13.40.Gp

Determining the composition of η and η' mesons attracted continuous interest in hadronic physics. The idea of η and η' containing gluonic and intrinsic $|c\bar{c}\rangle$ components has long been employed in explaining many experimental results, including recent observations of large branching ratios for some decay processes of J/ψ and B mesons into pseudoscalar mesons [1].

There are three charge neutral states in the nonet of pseudoscalar mesons in SU(3)$_F$ quark model: π^0, η_8 and η_1. The latter two mix to give the physical particles η and η',

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_8 \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (1)$$

Alternatively, one could use the quark-flavor basis mixing scheme [2],

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi \\ \sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_q \\ \eta_s \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2)$$

with $|\eta_q\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|u\bar{u}\rangle + |d\bar{d}\rangle$ and $|\eta_s\rangle = |s\bar{s}\rangle$. The mixing angles in the two schemes are related via $\theta = \phi - \arctan\sqrt{2} \simeq \phi - 54.7^\circ$. A two-mixing-angle scheme has also been suggested in the study of the mixing of decay constants [3].

The mixing angle can neither be calculated from first principles in QCD nor measured directly – it has to be determined phenomenologically. There are a lot of studies on this subject using different methods and a number of different processes, including various decay processes involving the light pseudoscalar mesons [1–6].

One important source of information in determining the mixing angle is the transition processes, $\gamma\gamma^* \to \eta,\ \eta'$ for which the transition from factors (TFFs), $F_{\eta\gamma}(Q^2)$ and $F_{\eta'\gamma}(Q^2)$ with Q^2 being the virtuality of the off-mass-shell photon, are defined. The usual procedure [7] using the TFFs to evaluate the η-η' mixing angle is to calculate the Q^2 dependence of these transition form factors and compare with the experimental data which are given at a certain range of Q^2 [8–10]. However, theoretical calculations for the TFFs at finite Q^2 suffer sizable corrections and are sensitive to the non-perturbative model used for the distribution amplitude of the mesons, which results in large uncertainties in determining the mixing angle.

Two analytical constraints on the η-η' mixing were obtained in [11] by considering the two-photon decays of η and η' and the asymptotic behavior of the η and η' TFFs in the limit $Q^2 \to \infty$, together with the fact that the asymptotic behavior of the meson TFFs is firmly predicted by QCD [12]. Newly available *BABAR* data [10] extend the measurements for the η and η' TFFs to higher Q^2 and to a much larger range of Q^2, and thus provide new information for the η and η' TFFs at $Q^2 \to \infty$. At the same time experimental information on the masses and two-photon decay widths of mesons involved are improved considerably over the last decade. These new experimental data shall have an impact on the determining of the η-η' mixing parameters.

In this paper we extract the $Q^2 \to \infty$ behavior of the η and η' TFFs from the *BABAR* data. Using this new information and updated experimental data about the two-photon decays $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta' \to \gamma\gamma$ [13], we determine the η-η' mixing angle and the ratios of decay constants in the two mixing schemes [see Eqs. (1) and (2)] using the method of [11].

The analytical expressions obtained in [11] for the mixing angle θ and the ratio of the decay constants of the η_1 and η_8 states $r = f_1/f_8$ are

$$\tan\theta = \frac{-(1+c^2)(\rho_1+\rho_2) + \sqrt{(1+c^2)^2(\rho_1+\rho_2)^2 + 4(c^2-\rho_1\rho_2)(1-c^2\rho_1\rho_2)}}{2(c^2-\rho_1\rho_2)}, \tag{3}$$

$$r = \frac{(1+c^2)(\rho_1-\rho_2) + \sqrt{(1+c^2)^2(\rho_1-\rho_2)^2 + 4c^2(1+\rho_1\rho_2)^2}}{2c(1+\rho_1\rho_2)}, \tag{4}$$

where $c = \sqrt{8}$ and

$$\rho_1 = \left[\frac{\Gamma_{\eta\to\gamma\gamma}}{\Gamma_{\eta'\to\gamma\gamma}}\,\frac{m_{\eta'}^3}{m_\eta^3}\right]^{1/2}, \tag{5}$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{F_{\eta\gamma}(Q^2\to\infty)}{F_{\eta'\gamma}(Q^2\to\infty)}. \tag{6}$$

One advantage of determining the mixing parameters from Eqs. (3)-(6) is that both the theoretical uncertainty incurred in calculating the TFFs at finite Q^2 and the experimental uncertainty are minimized by considering the ratios of the decay widths for the two-photon decay processes and the ratios of the transition form factors at large Q^2.

Furthermore, considering the ratio of the decay widths for the $\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma$ and $\eta\to\gamma\gamma$ processes, we can also determine the ratios f_8/f_π and f_1/f_π,

$$\frac{f_8}{f_\pi} = \rho_0\left[\frac{c_8}{c_\pi}\cos\theta - \frac{1}{r}\,\frac{c_1}{c_\pi}\sin\theta\right], \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{f_1}{f_\pi} = \rho_0\left[\frac{c_8}{c_\pi}\,r\cos\theta - \frac{c_1}{c_\pi}\sin\theta\right], \tag{8}$$

where $c_\pi = 1$, $c_8 = 1/\sqrt{3}$, $c_1 = 2\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3}$, and

$$\rho_0 = \left[\frac{\Gamma_{\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma}}{\Gamma_{\eta\to\gamma\gamma}}\,\frac{m_\eta^3}{m_{\pi^0}^3}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{9}$$

The above analysis can be easily applied to the quark-flavor basis mixing scheme [see Eq. (2)] by replacing the parameters $c = c_1/c_8$, $r = f_1/f_8$, c_8 and c_1 with $c' = c_s/c_q = \sqrt{2}/5$, $r' = f_s/f_q$, $c_q = 5/3$ and $c_s = \sqrt{2}/3$, respectively [11].

The parameters ρ_0 and ρ_1 can be fixed by using the masses and two-photon decay widths of π^0, η and η'. We employ the data given by the 2010 Particle Data Group (PDG2010) [13],

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma} &= 7.74\pm0.46\ \text{eV},\\ \Gamma_{\eta\to\gamma\gamma} &= 0.510\pm0.026\ \text{keV},\\ \Gamma_{\eta'\to\gamma\gamma} &= 4.28\pm0.19\ \text{keV},\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}m_{\pi^0} &= 134.9766\pm0.0006\ \text{MeV},\\ m_\eta &= 547.853\pm0.024\ \text{MeV},\\ m_{\eta'} &= 957.78\pm0.06\ \text{MeV}.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We will use the CLEO [9] and *BABAR* [10] data for the TFFs at large Q^2 to determine the parameter ρ_2. The CLEO Collaboration [9] measured $F_{\eta\gamma}(Q^2)$ and $F_{\eta'\gamma}(Q^2)$ in the Q^2 regions up to 20 and 30 GeV^2 respectively, and presented the data in a mono-pole form proposed in [14],

$$\left|F_{P\gamma}(Q^2)\right|^2 = \frac{1}{(4\pi\alpha)^2}\,\frac{64\pi\Gamma_{P\to\gamma\gamma}}{m_P^3}\,\frac{1}{\left(1+Q^2/\Lambda_P^2\right)^2}, \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha \simeq 1/137$ is the QED fine coupling constant and Λ_P is the pole mass parameter.

The *BABAR* Collaboration [10] recently measured the η-photon and η'-photon transition form factors in the Q^2 range from 4 to 40 GeV^2. The results were not presented in the mono-pole form [Eq. (12)], partially because their results for the pion-photon transition from factor exhibit a very quick growth for $Q^2 > 15$ GeV^2 [15], which is very hard to explain in QCD [16]. However, this trend of fast growth is noticeably missing from the *BABAR* data for the η-photon and η'-photon transition form factors, and thus the *BABAR* data for the η-photon and η'-photon transition form factors are consistent with perturbative QCD calculations for the form factors and shall be described with the mono-pole form as given by Eq. (12).

We use QCD-motivated mono-pole form Eq. (12) to fit experimental data. The values of Λ_η and Λ'_η in Eq. (12) determined using the CLEO data, *BABAR* data, and the combined data are presented in Table I. We have combined the statistical and systematic errors for the CLEO data in quadrature since the *BABAR* data are presented with only combined errors. The values of χ^2/d.o.f given in the table provide further justification for the use of Eq. (12) in describing these data. The values of Λ_η and Λ'_η determined with the CLEO and *BABAR* data agree within their uncertainties, but the *BABAR* data greatly improve the accuracy in determining the values of Λ_η and Λ'_η. Using the combined data in the fitting changes the results slightly.

The parameter Λ_P has a natural explanation as the pole mass of vector meson in the vector meson

dominated model for the TFFs. The values we obtained, $\Lambda_\eta \sim 780$ MeV and $\Lambda_\eta \sim 860$ MeV, are very close to the masses of ρ (770 MeV) and K^* (890 MeV).

The results for the mixing angle and decay constants determined using the CLEO data, *BABAR* data, and the combined data, together with the two-photon decay widths, are presented in Tables II and III for the η_8-η_1 mixing scheme and quark-flavor basis mixing scheme, respectively. The mixing angle obtained in this work, $\phi \simeq 37^\circ \sim 38^\circ$ is slightly smaller than the central value of 39.8° obtained in [11]. This is mainly due to an increase in the estimation for the $\Gamma_{\eta\to\gamma\gamma}$ by the 2010 Particle Data Group. This increase also affects the results for the ratios of decay constants slightly. The uncertainties for the mixing angles and the ratios f_1/f_8 and f_s/f_q obtained in this work are considerably smaller than that given in [11] due to the new more accurate experimental data for the meson masses, the two-photon decay widths, and the meson-photon transition form factors. The uncertainties for the other ratios of decay constants, f_8/f_π and f_1/f_π in the η_8-η_1 mixing scheme and f_q/f_π and f_s/f_π in the quark-flavor basis mixing scheme, are also generally smaller than that estimated in [11].

Our results for the mixing angle are in agreement with recent results of $\phi \simeq 37^\circ \sim 42^\circ$ obtained with other methods [6]. The value of f_8/f_π obtained in this work is smaller than that obtained with Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) at next-to-leading order [4] and some phenomenological analyses [6], but is larger than the result reported in [5]. We note that the ChPT result may be alerted by higher order corrections. As it has been pointed out in [11], in the previous studies either the questionable assumption that the decay constants and the particle states share the same mixing scheme or two mixing-angle scheme was adopted. The relations between the mixing parameters involved in the two-mixing-angle scheme and that appear in our model remain to be further studied.

In summary, understanding the composition of the light pseudoscalar mesons η and η' is of great importance in the study of many hadron processes involved these mesons. Employing the two analytical constrains on the η-η' mixing proposed by us in a previous work, we extracted the η-η' mixing angle and the ratios of decay constants in two widely-used mixing schemes using recently available *BABAR* measurements on the η-photon and η'-photon transition form factors and more accurate experimental data for the masses and two-photon decay widths of the light pseduoscalar mesons.

F.G. thanks X.-H. Guo for his hospitality at Beijing Normal University where part of this work was done.

[1] C. Di Donato, G. Ricciardi, and I. I. Bigi, arXiv:1105.3557; Y.-D. Tsai, H.-N. Li, and Q. Zhao, arXiv:1110.6235; R. Fleischer, R. Knegjens, and G. Ricciardi, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1798 (2011).

[2] T. Feldmann, P. Kroll, and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 114006 (1998); Phys. Lett. B **449**, 339 (1999).

[3] See e.g., J. Schechter, A. Subbaraman, and H. Weigel, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 339 (1993); T. Feldmann and P. Kroll, Eur. Phys. J. C **5**, 327 (1998).

[4] J. F. Donoghue, B. R. Holstein, and Y. C. R. Lin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2766 (1985); R. Kaiser and H. Leutwyler, Proceedings of Workshop on Methods of Nonperturbative Quantum Field Theory (Adelaide, 1998) [also hep-ph/9806336].

[5] A. V. Kisselev and V. A. Petrov, Z. Phys. C **58**, 595 (1993).

[6] For recent studies see e.g., C. E. Thomas, J. High Energy Phys. **0710**, 026 (2007); D. M. Asner *et al.* (KLOE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **79**, 072007 (2009). F. Ambrosino *et al.* (KLOE Collaboration), J. High Energy Phys. **0907**, 105 (2009); S. D. Bass, **Acta Phys. Polo. Supp. 2**, 11 (2009); R. Escribano, Eur. Phys. J. C **65**, 467 (2010); V. Mathieu and V. Vento, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 034004 (2010); S. Kiesewetter and V. Vento, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 034003 (2010); H.-W. Ke, X.-H. Yuan, and X.-Q. Li, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 4731 (2011).

[7] See e.g., J. Cao, F.-G. Cao, T. Huang, and B.-Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 113006 (1998).

[8] H.-J. Behrend *et al.*, (CELLO Collaboration), Z. Phys. C **49**, 401 (1991).

[9] J. Gronberg *et al.*, (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **57**, 33 (1998).

[10] P. A. Sanchez *et al*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **84**, 052001 (2011).

[11] F. G. Cao and A. I. Signal, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114012 (1999).

[12] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Lett. **B87**, 359 (1979); Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2157 (1980).

[13] K. Nakamura *et al.*, Particle Data Group, J. Phys. G. **37**, 2010 (075021).

[14] S. J. Brodsky and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 1808 (1981).

TABLE I. Λ_P and χ^2/d.o.f in fitting the data for the TFFs with Eq. (12).

	η		η'	
	Λ_η (MeV)	χ^2/d.o.f	$\Lambda_{\eta'}$ (MeV)	χ^2/d.o.f
CLEO	775 ± 12	0.95	856 ± 10	0.88
BABAR	787 ± 7	0.99	861 ± 4	1.04
CLEO+*BABAR*	784 ± 6	0.96	849 ± 6	0.88

TABLE II. The mixing parameters determined for the η_8-η_1 mixing scheme.

	θ	f_1/f_8	f_8/f_π	f_1/f_π
CLEO	-16.26 ± 0.86	1.162 ± 0.053	0.955 ± 0.042	1.109 ± 0.053
BABAR	-16.54 ± 0.71	1.146 ± 0.045	0.966 ± 0.041	1.107 ± 0.050
CLEO+*BABAR*	-16.84 ± 0.72	1.128 ± 0.044	0.979 ± 0.042	1.105 ± 0.050

[15] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **80**, 052002 (2009).

[16] See e.g., S. J. Brodsky, F.-G. Cao and G. F. de Teramond, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 033001 (2011); S. J. Brodsky, F.-G. Cao and G. F. de Teramond, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 075012 (2011); A. P. Bakulev, S. V. Mikhailov, A. V. Pimikov, and N. G. Stefanis, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 034014 (2011); P. Kroll, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1623 (2011); X.-G. Wu and T. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 034024 (2010) H. L. L. Roberts, C. D. Roberts, A. Bashir, L. X. Gutierrez-Guerrero, and P. C. Tandy, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 065202 (2010), and references therein.

TABLE III. The mixing parameters determined for the quark-flavor basis mixing scheme.

	ϕ	f_s/f_q	f_q/f_π	f_s/f_π
CLEO	38.11 ± 0.79	1.197 ± 0.065	1.076 ± 0.044	1.29 ± 0.10
BABAR	37.90 ± 0.70	1.177 ± 0.054	1.077 ± 0.044	1.268 ± 0.088
CLEO+*BABAR*	37.66 ± 0.70	1.156 ± 0.054	1.078 ± 0.044	1.246 ± 0.087